

**Table 1 – NEPGA Member Generating Capacity**

<b>NEPGA Member<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Total Generating Capacity (MW) Developed or under development</b>
Brookfield Renewable Power Inc. <sup>2</sup>	4,100
Competitive Power Ventures <sup>3</sup>	13,000
Constellation Energy <sup>4</sup>	9,000
Dominion <sup>5</sup>	27,600
Dynegy <sup>6</sup>	11,800
Entergy <sup>7</sup>	30,000
EquiPower <sup>8</sup>	1,792
Exelon <sup>9</sup>	31,758
GDF SUEZ Energy Generation <sup>10</sup>	7,000
GenOn Energy {formerly Mirant} <sup>11</sup>	24,600
Granite Ridge Energy <sup>12</sup>	720
International Power <sup>13</sup>	32,358
NextEra Energy Resources, LLC <sup>14</sup>	43,000
North American Energy Alliance, LLC <sup>15</sup>	1,755
NRG Energy <sup>16</sup>	26,000
PSEG Power <sup>17</sup>	15,500
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>279,983</b>

<sup>1</sup> <http://www.nepga.org/>

<sup>2</sup> <http://www.brookfieldpower.com/>

<sup>3</sup> <http://www.cpv.com/>

<sup>4</sup> <http://www.constellation.com/portal/site/constellation/menuitem.c5ed679d8e47f0875fb60610025166a0/>

<sup>5</sup> <http://www.dom.com/about/index.jsp>

<sup>6</sup> [http://www.dynegy.com/about\\_dynegy/about\\_dynegy.asp](http://www.dynegy.com/about_dynegy/about_dynegy.asp)

<sup>7</sup> [http://www.entergy.com/about\\_entergy/](http://www.entergy.com/about_entergy/)

<sup>8</sup> <http://www.eqpwr.com/>

<sup>9</sup> <http://www.exeloncorp.com/aboutus.aspx>

<sup>10</sup> <http://www.suezenergynya.com/ourcompanies/energygen.shtml>

<sup>11</sup> <http://www.mirant.com/>

<sup>12</sup> <http://www.nhsec.nh.gov/1998-02/documents/990525decision.pdf>

<sup>13</sup> <http://annualreport2009.ipplc.investis.com/>

<sup>14</sup> <http://www.nexteraenergyresources.com/content/who/leader.shtml>

<sup>15</sup> <http://www.naeallc.com/>

<sup>16</sup> <http://www.nrgenergy.com/about/index.htm>

<sup>17</sup> <http://www.pseg.com/family/index.jsp>

**THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE**  
**before the**  
**PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION**

Northeast Utilities

Northeast Utilities-NSTAR Merger Review

Docket No. DE 11-014

*Affidavit of David R. McHale*

**I, David R. McHale, being first duly sworn and put upon oath, do hereby state:**

I am David R. McHale, am over the age of eighteen and understand the obligations of making statements under oath. I am Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Northeast Utilities (“NU”) and Public Service Company of New Hampshire (“PSNH”).

On February 7, 2011, I appeared on behalf of NU and PSNH before the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission (“Commission”) in the Public Information Session in above-referenced docket.

In my statements to the Commission, I explained that the transaction set forth in the merger agreement will not adversely affect the rates, terms, service or operation of PSNH.

I also explained in my statements to the Commission that the transaction will not change PSNH’s corporate structure, will not result in a merger or consolidation of PSNH or any of its NU operating affiliates, will not cause a change of control of PSNH, NU or any of its NU operating affiliates, and will not affect PSNH’s outstanding debt, its dividend policy or capital structure. I also explained that over time the transaction will produce benefits for PSNH customers in the form of cost savings, which are expected to result from the implementation of best practices and other synergies, as well as from the enhanced financial strength of NU following the transaction.

In addition to my statements to the Commission, I also sponsored written testimony on behalf of NU in connection with the joint petition to the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities for approval of the transaction set forth in the merger agreement between NU and NSTAR, and also am NU’s responsible witness on nearly all of its written responses to information requests in that proceeding. All of these materials have been filed with the Commission as part of the record in the above-referenced docket.

Similar to my statements at the public information session in New Hampshire, my written testimony and various responses to information requests in the Massachusetts proceeding explain that the transaction will not adversely affect the rates, terms, service or operation of NU's Massachusetts operating company, and will ultimately produce benefits for the customers of that company.

If the same questions in the Massachusetts written testimony and data request responses were asked of me today as to the impact of the transaction on PSNH and its customers, my responses would be the same, meaning that the transaction will not adversely affect the rates, terms, service or operations of PSNH. To the contrary, the transaction will ultimately produce benefits for PSNH and its customers.

  
David R. McHale

**Subscribed and sworn to** before me, a Notary Public, this 1 day of March, 2011, by David R. McHale, an individual whose identity is personally known me.

  
Notary Public

